Most clinical deep brain stimulation (DBS) systems deliver stimulation using a voltage-controlled pulse generator. For these systems, the amount of current delivered at the electrode will be affected by the impedance of the electrode. If the impedance of the electrode varies over time, then the amount of current delivered through the electrode will also vary, and thus the voltage distribution generated in the target neural tissue will vary. Previous researchers (Lempka et al, 2010) have proposed that such instability in impedances could be partially responsible for the frequent need to reprogram stimulators in DBS patients.

In this report we demonstrate that impedances do change over time in a preclinical model of DBS and that these changes are influenced by a number of variables, such as the position of the electrode on the lead, and whether the lead delivered electrical stimulation. As a result, electrodes on the same lead can undergo different impedance changes. Based on previous theoretical and experimental work (Butson et al, 2006, 2008; Miocinovic et al 2009), these variations in impedance would be expected to result in changes in the current at each electrode for voltage-controlled DBS systems, while current-controlled pulse generators may deliver stimulation that is less affected by changes in impedance. For further analysis of stimulation-related impedance changes in this model, see related posters from Jackson et al. and Steinke et al.

### Background

Most clinical deep brain stimulation (DBS) systems deliver stimulation using a voltage-controlled pulse generator. For these systems, the amount of current delivered at the electrode will be affected by the impedance of the electrode. If the impedance of the electrode varies over time, then the amount of current delivered through the electrode will also vary, and thus the voltage distribution generated in the target neural tissue will vary. Previous researchers (Lempka et al, 2010) have proposed that such instability in impedances could be partially responsible for the frequent need to reprogram stimulators in DBS patients.

In this report we demonstrate that impedances do change over time in a preclinical model of DBS and that these changes are influenced by a number of variables, such as the position of the electrode on the lead, and whether the lead delivered electrical stimulation. As a result, electrodes on the same lead can undergo different impedance changes.

### Methods

Thirty (30) pigs were implanted with bilateral DBS leads for either 30 days or 180 days. Each animal was randomly assigned one lead that delivered stimulation, while the contralateral lead remained passive (no stimulation). Impedance measurements were taken 2-5 times weekly for the duration of the study.

Table 2 (bottom): Stimulation parameters used in the study. Each stimulation setting represented in the table was active in n=5 pigs for 30 days, and another n=5 pigs for 180 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Pulse Width</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Amplitude</td>
<td>139 Hz</td>
<td>90 µsec</td>
<td>10 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Pulse Width</td>
<td>139 Hz</td>
<td>1000 µsec</td>
<td>0.9 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Rate Frequency</td>
<td>1190 Hz</td>
<td>90 µsec</td>
<td>4.3 mA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

Impedances fell over the first day post-implant, then rose significantly over the next 23 days post-implant (mean impedance on Day 1 = 492 Ω, Day 24 = 917 Ω; p <<.001, paired t-test), then steadily declined until they stabilized at approximately Day 100 post-implant. In addition, more distal electrodes were lower impedance than more proximal electrodes. Finally, leads that delivered electrical stimulation showed higher mean impedances on all electrodes than leads that delivered no stimulation.

### Conclusions

Based on previous theoretical and experimental work (Butson et al, 2006, 2008; Miocinovic et al 2009), these variations in impedance would be expected to result in changes in the current at each electrode for voltage-controlled DBS systems, while current-controlled pulse generators may deliver stimulation that is less affected by changes in impedance. For further analysis of stimulation-related impedance changes in this model, see related posters from Jackson et al. and Steinke et al.

Presented at: NANS 2011
All cited trademarks are the property of their respective owners. CAUTION: The law restricts these devices to sale by or on the order of a physician. Indications, contraindications, warnings and instructions for use can be found in the product labeling supplied with each device. Information for the use only in countries with applicable health authority product registrations.